

### Aftermarket Catalytic Converter Regulation



AQCAC Meeting – Karl Munder, MDE – June 15, 2020



## Background

- An earlier version of this regulation was brought to AQCAC in 2015
- There were a lot of questions asked and at the end of the day, AQCAC did not move forward with an approval
- Difficulties with moving the 2015 regulation forward?
  - It would have worked best if implemented through a federal effort
  - No other neighboring states had moved forward with a state AMCC rule
  - There appeared to be an effort by EPA to fix the federal program
- Why are we back with this regulation?
  - We still need more nitrogen oxide (NOx) reductions to meet the current ozone standard - we are very close
  - This is the largest NOx reducing initiative we can do in Maryland
  - EPA has not fixed, and does not appear to be planning to fix, the federal program
  - Other states (no neighbors) are also moving ahead



- The catalytic converter is a key component of a vehicle's emissions control equipment
- When converters fail, repair shops have two options for installing a replacement converter
  - Original equipment manufacturer (OEM) ... very good
  - Aftermarket catalytic converter (AMCC) ... not always so good
- Due to low quality of some AMCC, states and stakeholders have called on EPA to amend its federal AMCC policy
  - AMCC technology is lagging behind today's emissions control technology
  - California Air Resources Board (CARB) has demonstrated the ability of a state program to ensure AMCCs effectively reduce emissions
- A strong federal program is preferable to a patchwork of state rules



- Like MD, the Ozone Transport Commission (OTC) states are getting close to meeting the 2015 ozone standard
  - Because of this, other OTC states are also working hard to find reductions and are pushing EPA for an updated AMCC program
  - Developed a model rule based on the CARB AMCC program for states to consider adopting
- EPA has shifted focus from AMCCs to broader anti-tampering measures
  - This is good ... but
  - It does not address AMCCs adequately
- An effective AMCC program can provide meaningful NOx reductions at a time when MD and other states are getting very close to meeting the 2015 ozone standard



### **Pros and Cons**

#### • Pros

- CARB AMCCs would offer lower cost options for motorists, compared to OEM converters
- MD would see a reduction in locally produced NOx and other ozone forming emissions to assist with meeting the federal ozone standard
  - Estimated regional and local daily NOx reductions are 24 and 2 tons, respectively
- Provides consumers assurance that a vehicle's emissions control system will be functioning properly after a converter replacement
- The incremental cost of a CARB AMCC (approx. \$200) is offset by enhanced warranty coverage
- VEIP will continue the current procedure of confirming a vehicle has a converter and using the On-Board Diagnostic (OBD) system to verify proper functioning
- Supported by manufacturers ... Manufacturers of Emission Controls Association (MECA) and Autocare
- Provides momentum for other states to adopt



#### **Pros and Cons**

- Cons
  - Right now, some of the converters that don't work well are cheaper than the converters that will be required
  - Is still best implemented nationally by a federal effort
  - Is complicated to enforce



## Timeline of Regulation Development

- 2015 Proposed regulation was based on the OTC model rule
  - MDE delayed regulation adoption since EPA appeared to be moving forward with an update to the federal AMCC program
- 2015-2019 During the interim, MDE and OTC pushed EPA for federal action
  - EPA started a process with manufacturer support and shared some draft material
  - Despite multiple discussions, ultimately no new federal program was produced
  - Ozone improved in MD, but more NOx reductions are needed to attain
- 2019/2020 Due to lack of EPA progress, MDE updated the draft regulation and is bringing it to AQCAC today for approval



- Thirty years ago there was federal guidance on AMCCs to ensure that emissions control systems remained effective
- That guidance lapsed, so now ... there are no real prohibitions on the kind of AMCCs that can be purchased and installed
- EPA shifted its focus to anti-tampering
- Several states have moved forward with rules to address this problem. Other states in the queue ... update later
- MDE is pushing to attain the ozone standard and therefore believes it is best to move ahead with a MD specific regulation



- Is the basis for MDE's AMCC regulation
- Developed by CARB due to ineffectiveness of federal AMCC program
- Requires converter to allow vehicle to meet its original emissions level
- Ensures OBD II system compatibility ... check engine light must stay out
- Does not allow used converters





## **CARB Overview ... continued**

- CARB reviews test results from independent labs to certify converters
- CARB audits/tests converters to ensure they meet the standards
- Warranty of 50,000 miles/ 5 years covers converter, parts, and labor





## **OTC States**

- OTC states are pushing for EPA to update the federal AMCC program
  - OTC has taken many formal actions, written letters in support of an updated federal policy
- Two OTC states (NY, ME) have already adopted the CARB AMCC program
- MD, NJ and CT are now working towards adopting a state AMCC rule. MA is studying issue and considering a regulation in the future



- In the absence of a national AMCC program, these state rules are supported by automobile parts manufacturers like:
  - MECA and Autocare
- CO adopted the CARB AMCC program along with its Clean Cars program, effective Jan 2021



#### OTC's Comments on EPA's Draft Proposal on AMCC

- The updated EPA tampering policy appeared promising but falls short of requirements for an effective AMCC program
- EPA draft white paper sent to OTC in September 2018
- Weak performance of current AMCCs endangers the investments OTC states have made in Clean Cars programs
- Establishing a national AMCC program has been a goal of OTC, other states, and most of the AMCC industry for over a decade
- NY and ME have had to proactively develop and implement individual state programs based on the CARB program
- Focus on enforcement discretion and tampering ... leaves out the standards, testing procedures, warranty specifications, and record keeping requirements of prior program



- Still largely based on the OTC model rule
- Incorporates NY's improved implementation elements
- Incorporates an industry proposal allowing CARB AMCCs on vehicles that only have federal emissions certification





#### Industry AMCC Proposal for Federal Certified Vehicles

- MECA and Autocare proposed a concept for the use of CARB AMCCs on federal vehicles
  - Responds to the need to address federal vehicles as CARB AMCC program and OTC model rule do not
  - A problem for states outside of CA
- Allows manufacturers to determine an appropriate CARB AMCC based on a vehicle's characteristics (exhaust system configuration, emissions certification, etc.) and provide the information to installers
- Their proposal is based on standard industry practices



### **Overview COMAR 26.11.20.07**

- Requires CARB AMCC in Maryland for all vehicles, whether CARB or federal
- Prohibits used, recycled, or salvaged converters for all vehicles
- Establishes recordkeeping and reporting requirements
- Includes other state "trigger" concept and sunset provision





## **Applicability and Effective Date**

- Applicability (Section B)
  - This regulation applies to a person that produces, installs, sells, supplies, advertises, or offers for sale aftermarket catalytic converters on or after the effective date
  - Non-CARB parts can still be shipped to an in-state distribution center/warehouse, through the state, or sold out of state
- Effective Date (Section C)
  - No earlier than January 1, 2024; and
  - Two years after two of the following states have adopted a regulation that provides for the production, sale, supply, advertisement or installation of aftermarket catalytic converters that meet the requirements of § (E) of this regulation:
    - Delaware;
    - New Jersey;
    - Pennsylvania;
    - Virginia; or
    - West Virginia



MDE is specifically asking for AQCAC input on this "Other State Trigger" concept



## What is Not Allowed

- **Prohibitions** (Section E)
  - A person may only install, sell, supply, advertise, or offer for sale, for use on a motor vehicle in the State:
    - An AMCC that has been allowed for use by CARB on a 1996 or newer model year motor vehicle
    - A converter that is not used, recycled, reconditioned, or salvaged





## **Producer Requirements**

- A producer shall provide: (Section F)
  - An aftermarket catalytic converter motor vehicle application guide to installers
  - A means for the installer to contact the producer for technical assistance





## **Installer Requirements**

- Installer (Section F)
  - The installer must verify that the AMCC is specified for the motor vehicle using the producers guide
  - The AMCC must be installed in the same location as the original equipment manufacturer catalytic converter



# Record Keeping and Reporting

- Record Keeping Requirements (Section G)
  - An installer shall retain records pertaining to the sale and installation of AMCCs for a minimum of 4 years from the date of installation
- Reporting Requirements (Section H)
  - A producer shall submit to the Department semi-annual warranty reporting on AMCCs sold in the State





## **Sunset Provision**

- Sunset (Section I)
  - This regulation expires when the United States Environmental Protection Agency adopts a regulation or enforcement policy that provides for the sale, supply, advertisement, or installation of an AMCC that is able to reduce motor vehicle emissions at the same or greater level







- A rule was proposed in 2015 based on the OTC model rule
- MDE did not move forward due to a potential for a federal rule update and industry initiatives. This did not happen, so MDE believes it is necessary to move forward with a state regulation
- The new MDE 2020 rule would allow for a more robust product to be installed over a wider range of vehicle model years





- June 2020
  - Seek advice on "trigger" wording in the regulation
  - Discussion and approval by AQCAC
- Fall 2020
  - Approval from Division of State Documents
- Late 2020/ Early 2021
  - Notice of Proposed Action is released, and hearing is held
- July 2021
  - Regulation adopted, effective date of January 1, 2024



- Obtain guidance from AQCAC on the "trigger" wording in the regulation
- A favorable vote, so MDE can move ahead with this regulation with the support of AQCAC







## Questions

