



2002 List of Impaired Surface Waters [303(d) List] and Integrated Assessment of Water Quality in Maryland

Maryland Department of the Environment
Submitted in Accordance with Section 303(d) of the Clean Water Act

September 2002

Table of Contents

LIST OF FIGURES	i
LIST OF TABLES	ii
LIST OF ACRONYMS	iii
LIST OF ACRONYMS	iii
Acknowledgements	iv
1. Preface	1-1
2. Overview	2-1
2.1 SPECIAL SITUATIONS	2-2
2.1.1 <i>Individual Control Strategies</i>	2-2
2.1.2 <i>The Patuxent River Oil Spill</i>	2-3
2.1.3 <i>Biological assessments</i>	2-3
3. Framework for Identifying and Tracking Impaired Waters for the 2002 Integrated 303(d) List	3-1
3.1 WATER QUALITY ASSESSMENT	3-3
4. Listing Methodologies	4-1
4.1. LISTING METHODOLOGY FOR IMPLEMENTATION OF COMAR §26.08.02.01-B(2): BIOLOGICAL ASSESSMENT OF WATER QUALITY	4-1
4.1.1. <i>ABSTRACT</i>	4-1
4.1.2 <i>SCOPE</i>	4-1
4.1.3 <i>APPLICATION</i>	4-2
4.1.3.1 Stream Order	4-2
4.1.3.1.1 Procedures for 8-digit watersheds.....	4-2
4.1.3.1.2 Procedures for 12-digit (sub)watersheds	4-3
4.1.4 <i>PRIORITIZATION FOR WATERSHEDS WHERE MONITORING INTERPRETATION IS INCONCLUSIVE</i>	4-6
4.1.5 <i>REPORTING</i>	4-6
4.1.6 <i>EXCEPTIONS</i>	4-6
4.1.7 <i>APPROACH TO USE OF NON-MBSS DATA IN BIOCRITERIA</i>	4-7
4.1.7.1 Tier 1	4-7
4.1.7.2 Tier 2	4-8
4.1.8 <i>STRESSOR IDENTIFICATION</i>	4-9
4.1.9 <i>REFERENCES</i>	4-9
4.2 METHODOLOGY FOR THE INTERPRETATION OF DISSOLVED OXYGEN STANDARDS IN MARYLAND’S THERMALLY STRATIFIED LAKES	4-12
4.2.1 <i>INTRODUCTION</i>	4-12

4.2.2	<i>BACKGROUND FOR PROPOSED INTERM INTERPRETATION OF DO STANDARDS AS APPLIED TO THERMALLY STRATIFIED LAKES IN MARYLAND</i>	4-12
4.2.3	<i>LISTING METHODOLOGY FOR INTERPRETATION OF DO STANDARD AS APPLIED TO THERMALLY STRATIFIED LAKES IN MARYLAND</i>	4-14
4.2.4	<i>REFERENCES</i>	4-15
4.3	LISTING METHODOLOGY FOR pH AND MINE IMPACTED WATERS	4-16
4.4	LISTING METHODOLOGY FOR IDENTIFYING WATERS IMPAIRED BY BACTERIA ON MARYLAND'S 303(D) LIST	4-18
4.4.1	<i>INTRODUCTION</i>	4-18
4.4.2	<i>INTERPETATION OF FECAL COLIFORM DATA IN USE II, SHELLFISH HARVESTING AREAS</i>	4-18
4.4.3	<i>INTERPRETATION OF FECAL COLIFORM DATA FOR SWIMMING BEACHES</i>	4-19
4.4.4	<i>INTERPRETATION OF FECAL COLIFORM DATA FOR USE I, II OR IV WATERS</i>	4-19
4.4.5	<i>DISCUSSION</i>	4-20
4.5	LISTING METHODOLOGY FOR DETERMINING IMPAIRED WATERS BY CHEMICAL CONTAMINANTS FOR THE MARYLAND 303(D) LIST	4-22
4.5.1	<i>BACKGROUND</i>	4-22
4.5.2	<i>INTRODUCTION</i>	4-22
4.5.3	<i>WATER COLUMN</i>	4-23
4.5.4	<i>SEDIMENT</i>	4-24
4.5.4.1	Ambient Sediment Bioassay Data	4-25
4.5.4.2	Sediment Chemistry Data	4-26
4.5.5	<i>BIOLOGICAL BENTHIC ASSESSMENT DATA</i>	4-27
4.5.6	<i>WEIGHT-OF-EVIDENCE APPROACH (Sediment Quality Triad)</i>	4-29
4.5.7	<i>FISH TISSUE</i>	4-32
4.5.7.1	Data Requirements	4-33
4.5.7.2	Contaminant Thresholds	4-34
4.5.8	<i>REFERENCES</i>	4-34
4.6	LISTING METHODOLOGY FOR SOLIDS	4-37
4.6.1	<i>FREE-FLOWING STREAMS</i>	4-37
4.6.1.1	Water Clarity	4-37
4.6.1.1.1	Turbidity	4-37
4.6.1.2	Erosional and Depositional Impacts (limited to wadeable streams)	4-37
4.6.2	<i>IMPOUNDMENTS</i>	4-38
4.6.2.1	Water Clarity	4-38
4.6.2.1.1	Turbidity	4-38
4.7	LISTING METHODOLOGY – SEWAGE RELEASES	4-39
4.7.1	<i>INTRODUCTION</i>	4-39
4.7.2	<i>METHODOLOGY</i>	4-39
5.	The 2002 303(d) List	5-1
5.1	FORMAT AND STRUCTURE	5-1
5.2	SCALE	5-3
5.3	IMPAIRMENT SUMMARY	5-6

5.4	DE-LISTINGS AND REVISIONS TO PRIOR 1996 AND 1998 LISTINGS.....	5-8
5.4.1	<i>CATEGORY 1 DE-LISTINGS</i>	5-9
5.4.2	<i>CATEGORY 2 REVISIONS</i>	5-9
5.4.3	<i>CATEGORY 3 REVISIONS</i>	5-10
5.4.4	<i>CATEGORY 4 REVISIONS</i>	5-10
5.4.5	<i>CATEGORY 5 REVISIONS</i>	5-12
5.4.6	<i>CATEGORY 6 REVISIONS</i>	5-12
5.5	TMDLS COMPLETED.....	5-16
6.	Priority Ranking and TMDL Completion Scheduling	6-1
6.1	OVERVIEW.....	6-1
6.2	WQLS PRIORITY-SETTING.....	6-3
6.2.1	<i>WATERBODY USE CONSIDERATION</i>	6-3
6.2.1.1	Pollution Severity Considerations.....	6-3
6.3	TMDL SCHEDULING AND COMPLETION.....	6-3
6.4	CONSIDERATION OF PRIORITY DESIGNATIONS.....	6-4
6.5	PROGRAMMATIC AND TECHNICAL CONSIDERATIONS.....	6-4
6.5.1	<i>BASIN PLANNING CYCLE</i>	6-4
6.5.2	<i>AVAILABILITY OF RESOURCES TO ENSURE ADEQUACY OF DATA AND TECHNICAL TOOLS</i>	6-4
6.5.3	<i>TECHNICAL COMPLEXITY</i>	6-4
6.5.4	<i>COORDINATION WITH OTHER PROGRAMS' NEEDS</i>	6-6
6.5.5	<i>COOPERATION OF EFFECTED PARTIES</i>	6-6
6.5.6	<i>OTHER FACTORS</i>	6-6
6.6	DISCUSSION OF PRIORITY RANKING AND SCHEDULING FOR MARYLAND'S 2002 303(D) LIST.....	6-6
7.	The 2002 List of Impaired Surface Waters [303(d) List] and Integrated Assessment of Water Quality in Maryland	7-1
7.1	PART-3 OF THE 2002 303(D) INTEGRATED LIST – INCLUDES SURFACE WATERS THAT HAVE INSUFFICIENT DATA AND INFORMATION TO DETERMINE WATER BODY ATTAINMENT STATUS.....	7-2
7.2	PART-4A OF THE 2002 INTEGRATED 303(D) LIST – INCLUDES SURFACE WATERS THAT ARE STILL IMPAIRED BUT HAVE A TMDL THAT HAS BEEN COMPLETED OR SUBMITTED TO EPA.....	7-3
7.3	PART-4B OF THE 2002 INTEGRATED 303(D) LIST – INCLUDES SURFACE WATERS IMPAIRED FOR ONE OR MORE WATER QUALITY STANDARDS BUT NOT NEEDING A TMDL BECAUSE OTHER POLLUTION CONTROL REQUIREMENTS ARE REASONABLY EXPECTED TO RESULT IN THE ATTAINMENT OF WATER QUALITY STANDARDS IN THE NEAR FUTURE.	7-4
7.4	PART-5 OF THE 2002 INTEGRATED 303(D) LIST - HISTORICALLY KNOWN AS THE 303(D) LIST AND INCLUDES WATER BODIES THAT MAY REQUIRE A TMDL*.....	7-5
7.5	PART-6 OF THE 2002 INTEGRATED 303(D) LIST – INCLUDES SURFACE WATERS THAT HAVE BEEN DE-LISTED OR REMOVED FROM THE LIST OF IMPAIRED SURFACE WATERS.....	7-6
8.	Appendices	8-1

8.1	APPENDIX A – DATA SOLICITATION	8-2
8.2	APPENDIX B - DATA SOURCES.....	8-3
8.3	APPENDIX C – ADVERTISEMENT FOR LISTING METHODOLOGY REVIEW	8-5
8.4	APPENDIX D – COMMENT RESPONSE DOCUMENT FOR LISTING METHODOLOGIES	8-7
8.5	APPENDIX E – COMMENT RESPONSE DOCUMENT FOR THE DRAFT 2002 LIST OF IMPAIRED SURFACE WATERS [303(D) LIST] AND INTEGRATED ASSESSMENT OF WATER QUALITY IN MARYLAND.	8-44
8.6	APPENDIX F - SUMMARY OF CHANGES FROM THE DRAFT TO THE FINAL 2002 INTEGRATED 303(D) LIST.....	8-105
8.7	APPENDIX G - MARYLAND’S WATERSHED CYCLING STRATEGY.....	8-108
8.8	APPENDIX H – 1996 AND 1998 303(D) LISTS	8-112

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1: Biological impairment determination based upon confidence intervals.	4-5
Figure 2: Biological Monitoring Decision Flow	4-11
Figure 3: Nomogram showing relationship between water temperature, DO concentration, and DO saturation. <i>Source: Reid 1961.</i>	4-13
Figure 4: Minimum allowable hypolimnetic dissolved oxygen saturation and concentration in a meso-eutrophic lake (T = 10°C).	4-15
Figure 5: Decision flowchart for pH impaired waters.	4-17
Figure 6: 2002 Integrated 303(d) List highlighting listed segments and supporting data.	5-2
Figure 7: Names of the 8-digit basins (averaging 90 square miles each) used to list waters on the 2002 Integrated 303(d) List. Basin codes associated with these basin names are included in section 5.4.6.	5-4
Figure 8: Maryland 12-Digit subwatersheds (outlined in white and approximately 11 square miles each) used to identify subbasins within the 8-Digit planning scale. The 8-digit basins (outlined in black) are overlaid for comparison.	5-5
Figure 9: WQLS Priorities and TMDL Completion Scheduling. Information types (1) and (2) are used to determine the priority designation for each water quality limited segment (WQLS), (3). The priorities, (3), and other management factors, (4), serve as inputs to determine the TMDL completion schedule.	6-2
Figure 10: Five different regions in Maryland's designated for the Watershed Cycling Strategy.	6-5

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1: Relationship between Lake Trophic Status and Dissolved Oxygen Saturation in the Hypolimnion of a Thermally Stratified Lake	4-13
Table 2: Extended Relationship between Lake Trophic Status and Dissolved Oxygen Saturation in the Hypolimnion of a Thermally Stratified Lake	4-14
Table 3: Possible Conclusions Provided by Using the Sediment Quality Triad Approach (Chapman, 1992)	4-30
Table 4: Table of Sediment Screening Values	4-36
Table 5: Total Water Quality Limited Segments on Part-5 of the 2002 Integrated 303(d) List after Revision and Correction.	5-6
Table 6: Standardized basin names used in the current 2002 Integrated 303(d) List.	5-13
Table 7: Corrections made to the original 1996 and 1998 basin names based upon standardization in the current 2002 Integrated 303(d) list.	5-15
Table 8: Waters for which MDE has completed a TMDL.	5-16

LIST OF ACRONYMS

ANC – Acid Neutralizing Capacity
AVS – Avid Volatile Sulfide
BIBI – Benthic Index of Biological Integrity
CFR – Code of Federal Regulations
CL – Confidence Limit
COMAR – Code of Maryland Regulations
CWA – Clean Water Act
DO – Dissolved Oxygen
DNR - Maryland Department of Natural Resources
ERL – Effects Range Low
ERM – Effects Range Median
EPA – U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
ESGs – Equilibrium Sediment Guidelines
FIBI – Fish Index of Biological Integrity
IBI – Index of Biological Integrity
ICs – Individual Control Strategies
MBSS – Maryland Biological Stream Survey
MDE – Maryland Department of the Environment
Mg/L – Milligrams Per Liter
MPN – Most Probable Number
NHD – National Hydrography Dataset
NOAA – National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration
NSSP – National Shellfish Sanitation Program
NTUs – Nephelometric Turbidity Units
OC – Organic Carbon
QA/QC – Quality Assurance/Quality Control
PAHs – Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons
PCBs – Polychlorinated Biphenyls
SE – Standard Error
SQC – Sediment Quality Criteria
STORET – EPA’s “STOrage” and “RETreival” database
TARSA – Maryland Department of the Environment’s Technical and Regulatory Services Administration
TIE – Toxicity Identification Evaluation
TMDL – Total Maximum Daily Loads
TSS – Total Suspended Solids
WMA – Maryland Department of the Environment’s Water Management Administration
WQLS – Water Quality Limited Segment
WQC – Water Quality Criteria
WQS – Water Quality Standards
WWTP – Waste Water Treatment Plant

Acknowledgements

The compilation of the “2002 List of Impaired Surface Waters [303(d) List] and Integrated Assessment of Water Quality in Maryland” would not have been possible without the support of many individuals and agencies both within and outside of the State of Maryland. First and foremost, the Department of the Environment would like to thank our sister Agency, the Department of Natural Resources (DNR), for their assistance and cooperation in the development of the current list. The DNR’s provision of their monitoring data and technical expertise on the status of water quality in Maryland was an invaluable asset in the publication of the current list. Within DNR, special thanks are in order to Mr. Sherm Garrison for his assistance in identifying and clarifying water body impairments across the State as well as his assistance with statewide data solicitation and listing methodology development. DNR colleagues in the Maryland Biological Stream Survey Program, including Dr. Ron Klauda, Mr. Paul Kayzak, and Mr. Dan Boward, also deserve special recognition for their assistance in the development of biocriteria for use in the 303(d) assessment of State waters.

The Department of the Environment would next like to thank the local jurisdictions throughout the State who provided monitoring data and gave helpful comments and suggestions on the format and content of the 2002 303(d) List. Mr. Bill Stack from Baltimore City, Mr. Steven Stewart from the Baltimore County Department of Environmental Protection and Resource Management, and Ms. Meosotis Curtis and Mr. Keith VanNess from the Montgomery County Department of Environmental Protection, Ms. Susan Overstreet from the Howard County Department of Planning and Zoning, and Ms. Betsy Weisengoff from the Harford County Department of Public Works were all particularly helpful in this regard. The Maryland Tributary Teams, including the Patapsco/Back River Team, the Middle Potomac Tributary Team, and the Upper Potomac Tributary Team, were also very proactive in hosting the Department at team meetings to discuss the draft list and in providing both verbal and written comments.

The Department appreciates the assistance of the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency in reviewing and providing comments on preliminary drafts of the 303(d) document and for their participation on the State’s Biocriteria Committee. Mr. Tom Henry, Ms. Patricia Gleason and Ms. Elaine Harbold of EPA gave generous amounts of their time in moving Maryland’s 303(d) List into its final form.

Several local and inter-jurisdictional watershed groups were helpful in providing data and/or promoting interstate coordination, including the Susquehanna River Basin Commission, the Interstate Commission on the Potomac River Basin and the Herring Run Watershed Association. Other agencies/organizations that were helpful in providing comments on the State’s 303(d) list include: Howard Fox of the Earth Justice Legal Foundation, James Stuhltrager from the Mid-Atlantic Environmental Law Center, Mr. Cy Jones and Mr. J.L. Hearn of the Washington Suburban Sanitary Commission and Mr. F. Paul Calamita from the Maryland Association of Municipal Wastewater Employees, Inc.

Lastly, there were several key individuals within the Department of the Environment itself without whom the 303(d) List would have never been possible. Mr. Robin Grove, Director, and Dr. Rich Eskin, Deputy Director, of MDE’s Technical and Regulatory Services Administration (TARSA) were instrumental in providing guidance and direction in the development of Maryland’s 2002 303(d) List. Mr. George Harman of TARSA gave generously of his staff time

and resources for assistance in developing the 2002 303(d) List. Mr. Charles Poukish and Mr. Matthew Rowe, also of TARSA, were responsible for developing the 303(d) listing database and writing the 303(d) report. Several colleagues from MDE's Water Management Administration, including Dr. Robert Summers, Director, Mr. Jim Dieter, Mr. Edwal Stone, Mr. Jeff Rein and Mr. Steve Luckman provided valuable input in the 303(d) listing process. Finally, special thanks to Ms. Jennifer Wazenski in MDE's Office of the Attorney general for providing answers to legal questions raised by commentors during the 303(d) List's public comment period.