Thomas S. Devilbiss, Director 410-386-2949, fax 410-386-2924 Toll-free 1-888-302-8978 MD Relay service 7-1-1/1-800-735-2258 LRM@ccg.carr.org



Department of
Land and Resource Management
Carroll County Government
225 North Center Street
Westminster, Maryland 21157

June 30, 2016

Mr. Raymond P. Bahr Program Review Division Chief Sediment, Stormwater, and Dam Safety Program Maryland Department of the Environment 1800 Washington Boulevard Baltimore, Maryland 21230

RE: Watershed Protection and Restoration Program – 2016 Carroll County Financial Assurance Plan

Ray Dear Mr. Bahr:

The attached Carroll County 2016 Financial Assurance Plan (FAP) is being submitted per requirements of the Code of Maryland Regulations (COMAR) subsection 4-202.1. The FAP has been prepared per the Maryland Department of the Environment developed spreadsheets and approved per the requirements of subsection 4-201.1(J)(3). The Board of County Commissioners of Carroll County held three public venues regarding the FAP:

- (1) A May 31, 2016, briefing by staff to the Board regarding the FAP, which included Board approval to move forward to a public hearing,
- (2) A June 9, 2016, public hearing in which the public was offered the opportunity to comment, and
- (3) A June 23, 2016, deliberation session in which the Board approved the FAP (minutes of the session are attached to this correspondence).

The Carroll County 2016 FAP demonstrates sufficient funding in the current fiscal year and subsequent budgets to meet its anticipated costs per requirements in COMAR 4-202.1. Therefore, Carroll County feels it has met its statutory requirements with this 2016 submittal.

While the FAP reflects current conditions related to an impervious surface assessment and stormwater wasteload allocations, the County expressly reserves the right to modify and amend such numbers as may be necessary based on future refinements, new or additional information, re-interpretations, or ongoing litigation.

Mr. Raymond P. Bahr 2016 Carroll County Financial Assurance Plan June 30, 2016 Page Two

Finally, I would like to extend the County's sincere appreciation to you and your staff for support and assistance during this initial development and submittal process. Your courtesy review and constructive comments were welcomed and readily accepted. I look forward to MDE and the County continuing to work jointly on any issues through the review and reporting process.

Sincerely,

Thomas S. Devilbiss, C.P.G., C.F.M.

Director

cc: Board of County Commissioners

Timothy C. Burke, County Attorney Water Resource Coordination Council

Gale Engles, Bureau of Resource Management

Glenn Edwards, Department of Land & Resource Management

Brenda Dinne, Department of Land & Resource Management

	MS4 Information
Jurisdiction	Carroll County
Contact Name	Tom Devilbiss
Phone	410-386-2639
Address	225 North Center Street
City	Westminster
State	Maryland
Zip	21157
Email	tdevilbiss@ccg.carr.org
Baseline Acres	1344.00
Permit Num	11-DP-3319
Reporting Year	2016

Check with MDE Geodatabase:

Should match Permit info table of Geodatabase, except for Impervious Acre Baseline -- that should match Impervious Surface Table.

Background VERSION 4-7-16

The 2015 Maryland General Assembly passed Senate Bill 863 – Watershed Protection and Restoration Programs – Revisions. While SB 863 repealed the mandate for Phase I MS4 permittees to implement a stormwater remediation fee to fund stormwater projects, in its place, the bill requires annual reporting to Maryland Department of the Environment (MDE) for certain information related to the Watershed Protection and Restoration Fund and stormwater projects implemented during the previous year. SB 863 also requires a jurisdiction to file a financial assurance plan (FAP) with MDE every two years. The first report is to be filed by July 1, 2016, and then every two years thereafter on the anniversary date of the issuance of the NPDES Phase 1 MS4 permit.

The FAP must demonstrate that the jurisdiction has sufficient funding in the current fiscal year and subsequent budgets to meet its anticipated costs for the 2-year period immediately following the filing date of the plan. The local governing body must hold a public hearing and approve an FAP before it can be submitted to MDE. MDE will make the plan publically available on its website within 14 days after the plan is submitted.

MDE has 90 days from receipt of the FAP to determine if a jurisdiction's FAP demonstrates sufficient funding. Starting September 1, 2016, and every year thereafter, MDE must submit an annual report to the Governor and environmental committees of the Maryland General Assembly evaluating the compliance of Phase I jurisdictions with the requirements of the stormwater fee law.

Summary of Carroll County FAP

Carroll County's third generation permit, which expired in 2009, required restoration of 10 percent of untreated impervious surface. The initial 10 percent restoration requirement was achieved in 2009. The County continued to work toward the next 10 percent, as required by the permit, while awaiting issuance of the fourth generation permit. By the issuance of the fourth generation permit in December 2014, restoration of 23 percent of the untreated impervious surface had been achieved. Because the original

10 percent was complete, and work on the next 20 percent began in 2009, all projects completed that contribute toward the current 20 percent requirement have been included in these spreadsheets to ensure proper credit is given. Total operating and capital costs of \$12,576,575 have been expended thus far through FY 2015 to comply with the 20 percent restoration requirement of the current permit since the third generation permit expired.

With the fourth generation permit, which was issued December 29, 2014, Carroll County and its eight municipalities became co-permittees. The permit requires restoration of 20 percent of untreated impervious surface in the unincorporated areas of the county, as well as restoration of 20 percent of untreated impervious surface in the municipalities. With the addition of the municipalities to the permit, the impervious surface was reassessed, the results of which were incorporated into the FY 2015 annual NPDES report. However, as MDE has not yet officially approved the new impervious area numbers, the untreated impervious acres (6,715) in the approved FY 2014 annual report were used for this FAP.

The County projects spending a total of \$42,962,810 over the permit term for completed and planned projects (Sheet 1 Total Permit Term Costs of \$30,386,235 plus Sheet 5 Total Complete to Date of \$12,576,575). Impervious area restored is projected to be greater than 20 percent through the end of this permit term in anticipation of additional restoration requirements that will be included in the fifth generation permit, the amount of which as yet has not been determined by MDE. In addition, the FAP demonstrates that revenues are projected to meet estimated Impervious Surface Restoration Plan (ISRP) costs for the two-year period following the filing date, as shown on Sheet 3.

County's annual NPDES reports. Funding and efforts related to NPDES permit compliance have historically been, and continue to be, a high priority for Carroll County. This FAP provides confirmation related to that commitment, and, therefore, this submittal represents a level of effort which meets the spirit and intent of SB 863.

Article 4-202.1(j)(1)(i)1: Actions that will be required of the county or municipality to meet the requirements of its National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System Phase I Municipal Separate Storm Sewer System Permit.

Note: To identify all "actions" required under the MS4 permit, provide an executive summary of the jurisdiction's MS4 programs. See MDE's FAP Guidance. For proposed actions to meet the impervious surface restoration plan, fill in the table below.

Baseline: 1,344 Requirement: 20%

		<u> </u>		1		Ι	1	
REST BMP TYPE*	BMP CLASS	IMP ACRES	IMPL COST	% ISRP COMPLETE	IMPL STATUS**	PROJECTED IMPL YR		
Operation Programs								
				0.0%			1	
				0.0%			1	
				0.0%			1	
				0.0%]	
				0.0%				
				0.0%			_	
Average Operations Next Two Years (FY 2017-FY 2018)***		#DIV/0!	\$0	0.0%				
Average Operations Permit Term (FY 2014-FY 2018)***		#DIV/0!	\$0	0.0%				
Average Operations Permit Term and Projected Years (FY 2014-FY 2020)***		#DIV/0!	\$0	0.0%				
Capital Projects								
PWED	S	24.93	\$1,200,000	1.9%	Under Construction	2016	Miller/Watts	
		11.42			Under Construction		Upper Monocacy/Westminster/New W	indsor/Double
FPU	Α	11.42	\$119,660	0.8%	(Maintenance Period)	2016	PC 3 Treeplanting	
FSND	S	17.00	\$830,000	1.3%	Under Construction	2016	Carroll Co. Main.	
FBIO	S	4.00	\$70,000	0.3%	Under Construction	2016	Farm Museum	
PWED	S	47.05	\$3,500,000	3.5%	Proposed	2016	Finksburg Ind., Miller/Watts	FBIO Bioretention
FSND	S	94.98	\$2,740,000	7.1%	Proposed	2017	Elderwood, Blue Ridge	FPRSE Floodplain Restoration
PWED	S	92.10	\$1,800,000	6.9%	Proposed	2017	Langdon	FSND Sand Filter
WPKT	S	24.00	\$750,000	1.8%	Proposed	2017	Manchester Skate	WPKT Pocket Wetlant
PWED	S	139.20	\$4,000,000	10.4%	Proposed	2018	Willow, Shannon	MENF Enhanced Filters
FSND	S	61.50	\$2,500,000	4.6%	Proposed	2018	Merr, Small, Whisp.	FPU Planting Trees
FPU	Α	12.50	\$300,000	0.9%	Planning	2018	Treeplanting \$8,000/acre	OUT Outfall Stabilization
PWED	S	199.30	\$3,150,000	14.8%	Planning	2019	Null, Centr, Greens	PWED Extended Detention (Wet
WPKT	S	10.00	\$350,000	0.7%	Planning	2019	U.B. Project	
FSND	S	55.00	\$800,000	4.1%	Planning	2019	Centr (Dry), Squires	
FPU	А	15.00	\$400,000	1.1%	Planning	2019	Treeplanting \$9,000/acre	
OUT	Α	10.00	\$240,000	0.7%	Planning	2020	Springmount	
FSND	S	21.00	\$640,000	1.6%	Planning	2020	Piney Ridge Village, Candice Estates	
FPU	A	18.00	\$550,000	1.3%	Planning	2020	Treeplanting \$10,000/acre	
				0.0%			1	
Subtotal Capital Next Two Years (FY 2017-FY 2018)		424.28	\$12,090,000	31.6%				
Subtotal Capital Permit Term (FY 2015-FY 2019)		1,412.62	\$30,386,235	84.3%				
Subtotal Capital Permit Term and Projected Years (FY 2015-FY 2020)		1,461.62	\$36,516,235	108.8%				

Other						
NSCA	E	13.50	\$0	1.0%	2016	No Cost to the County Acquired through Development Proces
XFLD	E	3.24	\$0	0.2%	2016	No Cost to the County Acquired through Development Proces
NSCA	E	13.50	\$0	1.0%	2017	No Cost to the County Acquired through Development Proces
XFLD	E	3.24	\$0	0.2%	2017	No Cost to the County Acquired through Development Proces
NSCA	E	13.50	\$0	1.0%	2018	No Cost to the County Acquired through Development Proces
XFLD	E	3.24	\$0	0.2%	2018	No Cost to the County Acquired through Development Proces
NSCA	E	27.00	\$0	2.0%	2019-2020	No Cost to the County Acquired through Development Proces
XFLD	E	6.48	\$0	0.5%	2019-2020	No Cost to the County Acquired through Development Proces
Subtotal Other Next Two Years		33	\$0	2.49%		
Subtotal Other Permit Term (FY 2015-FY 2019)		552	\$0	41.1%		
Subtotal Operations Permit Term and Projected Years (FY 2015-FY 2020)		602	\$0	44.8%		
Total Next Two Years (FY 2017-FY 2018)		457.8	\$12,090,000	34.1%		
Total Permit Term (FY 2015-FY 2019)		1,964.5	\$30,386,235	125.4%		
Total Permit Term and Projected Years (FY2015-FY2020)		2,063.7	\$36,516,235	153.5%		

Check with MDE Geodatabase:

Type, class, impervious acres, implementation cost and implementation status should match the various geodatabase tables for BMPs (AltBMPLine, AltBMPPoint, AltBMPPoly, and RestBMP) -- aggregated by type and status.

^{*}Use BMP domains from MDE Geodatabase.

^{**}Complete, Under Construction, Planning, or Proposed

 $[\]ensuremath{^{***}\text{IMPL}}$ COST is a summation and not an average.

Article 4-202.1(j)(1)(i)2: Projected annual and 5-year costs for the county or municipality to meet the impervious surface restoration plan requirements of its National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System

Phase I Municipal Separate Storm Sewer System Permit.

	PAST	CURRENT/PROJECTED	PROJECTED	PROJECTED	PROJECTED	PROJECTED	
	UP THRU	YEAR 1	YEAR 2	YEAR 3	YEAR 4	YEAR 5	TOTAL
DESCRIPTION	FY 2015	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	COSTS
Operating Expenditures (costs)							
Support of Capital Projects	\$2,980,828	\$923,270	\$955,210	\$996,281	\$1,039,700	\$1,085,640	\$7,980,929
Debt Service Payment	\$759,578	\$655,743	\$973,510	\$1,359,650	\$1,759,540	\$2,115,960	\$7,623,981
Other (please stipulate program expenditure)*	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$0
Capital Expenditures (costs)							
General Fund (Paygo)	\$715,508	\$287,800	-	-	-	-	\$1,003,308
WPR Fund (Paygo)	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$0
Municipalities	-	\$516,000	\$871,000	\$405,400	\$458,000	\$223,600	\$2,474,000
Developer contributions	\$328,042	-	-	-	-	-	\$328,042
Debt Service	\$5,541,551	\$4,112,200	\$4,977,000	\$3,432,600	\$3,012,000	\$2,546,400	\$23,621,751
Grants & Partnerships	\$2,199,268	\$1,023,519	\$3,755,377	-	-	-	\$6,978,164
Other (please stipulate capital expenditure)*	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$0
Subtotal operation and paygo:	\$4,455,914	\$1,866,813	\$1,928,720	\$2,355,931	\$2,799,240	\$3,201,600	\$16,608,218
Total expenditures:	\$12,524,775	\$7,518,532	\$11,532,097	\$6,193,931	\$6,269,240	\$5,971,600	\$50,010,175

Total ISRP costs except debt service:

\$42,386,194

Compare ISRP costs (except debt service) / total ISRP proposed actions:

139.49%

Check with MDE Geodatabase:

The total current FY 2015 expenditure should be less than the combined total of the "OP_cost" and "CAP_Cost" fields in the fiscal analyses table of the geodatabase. The total projected FY 2016 expenditure should be less than the combined total of the "OP_budget" and "CAP_budget" fields in the fiscal analyses table of the geodatabase. *Insert additional rows as necessary.

Article 4-202.1(j)(1)(i)3: Projected annual and 5-year revenues or other funds that will be used to meet the cost for the county or municipality to meet the impervious surface restoration plan requirements under the National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System Phase I Municipal Separate Storm Sewer System Permit.

DESCRIPTION	PAST UP THRU FY 2015	CURRENT/PROJECTED YEAR 1 FY 2016	PROJECTED YEAR 2 FY 2017	PROJECTED YEAR 3 FY 2018	PROJECTED YEAR 4 FY 2019	PROJECTED YEAR 5 FY 2020	TOTAL NEXT 2-YEARS FY 17-18*	TOTAL CURRENT + PROJECTED
Annual Revenue** Appropriated for ISRP	\$15,414,774	\$7,693,492	\$11,694,567	\$6,361,274	\$6,441,605	\$6,149,136	\$18,055,841	\$53,754,848
Annual Costs towards ISRP***	\$12,524,775	\$7,518,532	\$11,532,097	\$6,193,931	\$6,269,240	\$5,971,600	\$17,726,028	\$50,010,175

Compare annual costs / revenue appropriated:

102%

WPRP 2016 Reporting Criteria

75%

ISRP = Impervious Surface Restoration Program, or 20% Restoration Requirement

^{*} Article 4-202.1(j)(2): Demonstration that county or municipality has sufficient funding in the current fiscal year and subsequent fiscal year budgets to meet its estimated cost for the 2-year period immediately following the filing date of the FAP. Note that the appropriations and expenditures include time period up to FY 2018.

^{**} Revenue means "dedicated revenues, funds, or sources of funds (per Article 4-202.1(j)(4)(ii). Note that budget appropriations have only been approved by governing bodies through FY 2016 at the time of FAP reporting.

^{***} See table of ISRP Cost.

Article 4-202.1(j)(1)(i)4: Any sources of funds that will be utilized by the county or municipality to meet the requirements of its National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System Phase I Municipal Separate Storm Sewer System Permit.

	PAST	CURRENT/PROJECTED	PROJECTED	PROJECTED	PROJECTED	PROJECTED	TOTAL
	UP THRU	YEAR 1	YEAR 2	YEAR 3	YEAR 4	YEAR 5	PERMIT
SOURCE	FY 2015	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	CYCLE
Paygo Sources							
Stormwater Remediation Fees (WPR Fund)	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$0
Miscellaneous Fees (WPR Fund)	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$0
General Fund	\$4,730,304	\$943,543	-	-	-	-	\$5,673,847
Property Tax	\$1,066,890	\$1,047,048	\$2,038,882	\$2,469,397	\$2,916,111	\$3,321,977	\$9,538,328
Municipalities	\$249,474	\$566,326	\$922,708	\$458,659	\$512,857	\$280,103	\$2,710,024
Developer Contributions	\$328,042	-	-	-	-	-	\$328,042
Interest	\$583	\$856	\$600	\$618	\$637	\$656	\$3,294
Subtotal Paygo Sources	\$6,375,293	\$2,557,773	\$2,962,190	\$2,928,674	\$3,429,605	\$3,602,736	\$18,253,535
Debt Service (paygo sources will be used to pay off	lebt service. Note	that previous appropriation	ons for debt servi	ce used for ISPR is	listed in FY 2014)		
County Transportation Bonds	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$0
General Obligation Bonds	\$6,840,425	\$4,112,200	\$4,977,000	\$3,432,600	\$3,012,000	\$2,546,400	\$22,374,225
Revenue (Utility) Bonds	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$0
State Revolving Loan Fund	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$0
Public-private partnership (debt service)	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$0
Subtotal Debt Service	\$6,840,425	\$4,112,200	\$4,977,000	\$3,432,600	\$3,012,000	\$2,546,400	\$22,374,225
Grants and Partnerships (no payment is expected)							
State funded grants	\$2,199,056	\$1,023,519	\$3,755,377	-	-	-	\$6,977,952
Federal funded grants	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$0
Public-private partnership (matched grant)	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$0
Subtotal Grants and Partnerships	\$2,199,056	\$1,023,519	\$3,755,377	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$6,977,952
Total Annual Sources of Funds	\$15,414,774	\$7,693,492	\$11,694,567	\$6,361,274	\$6,441,605	\$6,149,136	\$47,605,712
Percent of Funds Directed Toward ISRP	81.25%	97.72%	98.61%	97.37%	97.32%	97.11%	

Compare total permit term paygo ISRP costs / subtotal permit term paygo sources:

73%

Compare total permit term ISRP costs / total permit term annual sources of funds:

93%

Check with MDE Geodatabase:

The total sources related to WPR Funds in Current FY 2015 should match the "WPR_Fund" field of the geodatabase.

^{*} WPR Fund: Watershed Protection and Restoration Fund.

Article 4-202.1(j)(1)(i)5: Specific actions and expenditures that the county or municipality implemented in the previous fiscal years to meet its impervious surface restoration plan requirements under its National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System Phase I Municipal Separate Storm Sewer System Permit.

Baseline: 1,344 Requirement: 20%

		ВМР								
REST BMP ID	REST BMP TYPE	CLASS	NUM BMP	IMP ACRES	BUILT DATE	IMPL COST	% ISRP Complete	IMPL STATUS	GEN COMMENTS	
peration Programs										
							0.0%			
							0.0%			
							0.0%			
							0.0%			
omplete To Date*			#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!		\$0	0.0%			
apital Projects										
R09RST000004	PWED	S	1	110.50	11/24/2009	\$1,094,171	8.2%	Complete	Airport (Completed after previous permit requirement o	f 10% was achieved
R12RST000002	PWED	S	1	14.50	10/3/2012	\$328,122	1.1%	Complete	Quail	
R12RST000004	PWED	S	1	23.62	10/22/2012	\$312,867	1.8%	Complete	Harvest	
R14RST000003	PWED	S	1	19.92	11/24/2014	\$514,216	1.5%	Complete	Friendship	
R13RST000007	PWED	S	1	10.38	12/6/2013	\$410,907	0.8%	Complete	Carrolltown 2B	
R15RST000003	PWED	S	1	43.92	9/30/2015	\$2,361,489	3.3%	Complete	West. Comm.	
R10RST000001	FSND	S	1	12.36	8/26/2010	\$206,464	0.9%	Complete	Oklahoma I	
R09RST000002	FSND	S	1	16.97	10/20/2009	\$96,312	1.3%	Complete	Edgewood (Completed after previous permit requiremen	nt of 10% was ac
R09RST000003	FSND	S	1	14.00	11/9/2009	\$655,799	1.0%	Complete	Naganna (Completed after previous permit requirement	of 10% was achi
R09RST000001	FSND	S	1	2.37	9/22/2009	\$217,972	0.2%	Complete	High Point (Completed after previous permit requiremen	nt of 10% was ac
R11RST000002	FSND	S	1	29.30	6/8/2011	\$212,672	2.2%	Complete	Brimfield	
R11RST000001	FSND	S	1	42.61	5/11/2011	\$349,898	3.2%	Complete	Hoff	
R11RST000004	FSND	S	1	10.25	11/10/2011	\$98,348	0.8%	Complete	Heritage Heights	
R12RST000003	FSND	S	1	18.20	10/17/2012	\$566,929	1.4%	Complete	Parrish	
R12RST000001	FSND	S	1	16.62	8/16/2012	\$298,094	1.2%	Complete	Clipper/Gardenia	
R13RST000005	FSND	S	1	21.44	11/22/2013	\$751,630	1.6%	Complete	Clipper/Hilltop	
R11RST000003	FSND	S	1	3.27	6/23/2011	\$4,804	0.2%	Complete	Sun Valley	
R12RST000005	FSND	S	1	1.60	11/30/2012	\$23,388	0.1%	Complete	Chrisman	
R13RST000004	FSND	S	1	63.18	11/20/2013	\$1,000,867	4.7%	Complete	WHS	
R13RST000003	FSND	S	1	20.51	6/24/2013	\$247,708	1.5%	Complete	Benjamins Claim	
R14RST000002	FSND	S	1	19.51	9/24/2014	\$305,143	1.5%	Complete	Diamond 5	
R14RST000004	FSND	S	1	44.75	11/25/2014	\$923,913	3.3%	Complete	Carroll/Gemini	
R15RST000004	FSND	S	1	8.16	10/15/2015	\$523,930	0.6%	Complete	Eldersburg 3-5	
R15RST000009	FSND	S	1	7.65	12/22/2015	\$491,162	0.6%	Complete	Braddock Manor	
R13RST000001	FPU	A		1.06	4/24/2013	\$13,780	0.1%	Complete	Prettyboy	
R13RST000002	FPU	A	1	1.52	5/18/2013	\$15,528	0.1%	Complete	Cherry Branch 1	
R13RST000006	FPU	A	1	3.35	11/25/2013	\$102,037	0.2%	Complete	Wakefield	
R15RST000002	FPU	A		2.07	9/16/2015	\$45,777	0.2%	Complete	Liberty	
R14RST000001	FPU	A	1	1.14	8/29/2014	\$26,894	0.1%	Complete	Cherry Branch 2	
R15RST000001	FPU	A	1	0.57	5/19/2015	\$21,700	0.0%	Complete	Cherry Branch 3	
R15RST000006	FPU	A		3.97	12/5/2015	\$39,676	0.3%	Complete	Double Pipe 1	
R15RST000007	FPU	A		1.82	12/5/2015	\$63,898	0.1%	Complete	Double Pipe 2	
R15RST000007	FPU	A		3.60	12/8/2015	\$56,866	0.3%	Complete	So. Branch	
R15RST000005			1					·		
VT31/21000003	FPU	A	1	9.95	11/25/2015	\$193,614	0.7%	Complete	Municipal	
	+	1		+			0.0%		 	
	+	1		+			0.0%		 	
		1					0.0%		 	
		1		1			0.0%		 	
		1					0.0%			
		1					0.0%			Floodplain Mgt.
		22 222222222222222222					0.0%			Enhanced Filters
o Date			29	604.64		\$12,576,575	44.99%		SEPP S	Septic Pumping

Other										
CR09RST000005	FUND	S	1	14.32	2009	\$0	1.1%	Complete	Redevelopment cor	So. Carroll H.S.
TBD	SEPP	Α	1	222.30	2015	\$0	16.5%	Annual	Septic Pumping (Ho	West WWTP (Annual)
TBD	XFLD	Е	138	43.21	2014	\$0	3.2%	Complete	FP Easements (No C	Need # of properties
TBD	NSCA	Е	325	224.30	2014	\$0	16.7%	Complete	WR Easements (No	Need # of properties
CR15RST000010	FSND	S	1	0.55	2015	\$0	0.0%	Completed	Public Works (No C	Benjamin's B
TBD	FPU	Α	2	4.34	2013	\$0	0.3%	Completed	Partnership (Grant	Frederick Lower/Bennet Cerf
TBD	FSND	S	1	9.36	2013	\$0	0.7%	Complete	Private Property (Pi	Sunnyside (Lippy)
Subtotal Other Complete To Date			469	518.38		\$0	38.6%			
Total Complete to Date			498	1,123.02		\$12,576,575	83.6%			

Check with MDE Geodatabase:

Rest BMP ID, type, class, number of BMPs, impervious acres, built date, implementation cost and implementation status should match the various geodatabase tables for BMPs (AltBMPLine, AltBMPPoint, AltBMPPoly, and RestBMP) -- aggregated by type and status.

Notes:

For street sweeping indicate the annual frequency that the streets are swept and for inlet cleaning indicate the number of inlets cleaned-out.

*IMPL COST is a summation and not an average.

St. 1831.

Board of Carroll County Commissioners

Open Session

County Office Building Westminster, MD 21157

www.ccgovernment.carr.org

~ Minutes ~

Admin

Thursday, June 23, 2016

10:00 AM

County Office Building Rm 311

I. Call to Order

Attendee Name	Organization	Title	Status	Arrived
Stephen Wantz	Board of Carroll County Commissioners	President	Present	
Richard Weaver	Board of Carroll County Commissioners	Vice- President	Present	
Dennis Frazier	Board of Carroll County Commissioners	Secretary	Absent	
Richard Rothschild	Board of Carroll County Commissioners	Commissioner	Present	
Doug Howard	Board of Carroll County Commissioners	Commissioner	Present	

- II. Invocation ~ Commissioner Rothschild
- III. Positively Carroll
- IV. Public Comment ~ Item No. V

None

V. Briefing ~ Solid Waste Advisory Council Update

The Bureau of Solid Waste must effectively implement an integrated system of solid waste management and recycling that allows sufficient flexibility to react to changes in regulations, technology and market conditions. The Solid Waste Advisory Council (SWAC) advises the Bureau of Solid Waste as needed on select matters relating to administration, operation, capital projects and budget. SWAC provides a forum for the advisory input from users of the County's solid waste facilities. A discussion was held regarding Waste Haulers pickup by Districts and the concept of Pay as You Throw.

VI. Public Comment ~ Item No. VII

None

VII. Discussion/Decision ~ Chapter 158 Zoning

On June 9th, the Board conducted a Public Hearing to accept testimony in support and/or opposition to a proposed zoning text amendment. The Hearing was conducted in conformance with the Land Use Article, Maryland Annotated Code and the Code of Public Local Laws of Carroll County, following public notice as prescribed by the Code. No public comment was received during the public hearing. After providing ample opportunity for participation, the Commissioners formally closed the hearing and agreed to keep the public

record open for a period of not less than ten (10) days. The ten (10) day comment period has expired with no comments received.

1. Motion To: adopt the proposed legislation amending Chapter 158 of the Code of Public Local Laws of Carroll County dealing with Petroleum Storage

RESULT:

ADOPTED [UNANIMOUS]

MOVER:

Doug Howard, Commissioner

SECONDER: AYES: Richard Weaver, Vice-President Stephen Wantz, Richard Weaver, Richard Rothschild, Doug Howard

ABSENT:

Dennis Frazier

VIII. Public Comment ~ Item No. IX

None

IX. Additional Discussion/Decision ~ NPDES Financial Assurance Plan

The 2015 Maryland General Assembly passed Senate Bill 863 - Watershed Protection and Restoration Programs - Revisions. While SB 863 repealed the mandate to implement a stormwater remediation fee to fund stormwater projects, in its place, the bill requires annual reporting to Maryland Department of the Environment (MDE) for certain information related to the Watershed Protection and Restoration Fund and stormwater mitigation projects. SB 863 also requires a jurisdiction to file a Financial Assurance Plan (FAP) with MDE every two years. The first report is to be filed by July 1, 2016, and then every two years thereafter on the anniversary date of the issuance of the NPDES Phase 1 MS4 permit. The FAP must demonstrate that the jurisdiction has sufficient funding in the current fiscal year and subsequent budgets to meet its anticipated costs for the 2-year period immediately following the filing date of the plan. The local governing body must hold a public hearing and approve an FAP before it can be submitted to MDE. MDE will make the plan publically available on its website within 14 days after the plan is submitted. MDE has 90 days from receipt of the FAP to determine if a jurisdiction's FAP demonstrates sufficient funding. Starting September 1, 2016, and every year thereafter, MDE must submit an annual report to the Governor and committees of the Maryland General Assembly evaluating the compliance of Phase I jurisdictions with the requirements of the stormwater fee law. The Commissioners held a public hearing on June 9 and directed that the hearing record be left open for 10 days.

 Motion To: approve the Financial Assurance Plan for submittal to Maryland Department of the Environment.

RESULT:

ADOPTED [UNANIMOUS]

MOVER: SECONDER: Richard Weaver, Vice-President Doug Howard, Commissioner

AYES:

Stephen Wantz, Richard Weaver, Richard Rothschild, Doug Howard

ABSENT:

Dennis Frazier

X. Public Comment ~ Item No. XI

None

XI. Briefing/Discussion/Decision ~ Bid Approval - Finksburg Industrial Park - Stormwater Management

This project is located on the north side of Maryland Route 91, on west side of the junction with Maryland Route 91 North. Work at the site shall include, but is not limited to the retrofit of an existing stormwater management facility, the construction of a new embankment, weir wall, and the installation of new drainage structures at the end of existing culverts beneath Maryland Route 91 and Industrial Park Drive, along with all associated conveyances. Sediment and erosion control is also included in the work effort. Department of Resource Management in cooperation with the Bureau of Purchasing recommends award for the Finksburg Industrial Park Stormwater Management to be awarded to the only bidder CJ Miller in the amount of \$2,337,753.25.

 Motion To: approve the award of bid for the Finksburg Industrial Park Stormwater Management to C. J. Miller in the amount of \$2,337,753.25

RESULT:

ADOPTED [UNANIMOUS]

MOVER:

Doug Howard, Commissioner Richard Weaver, Vice-President

SECONDER: AYES:

Stephen Wantz, Richard Weaver, Richard Rothschild, Doug Howard

ABSENT:

Dennis Frazier

XII. Public Comment ~ Item No. XIII

None

XIII. Discussion/Possible Decision ~ Request Approval of Grant Award from Administrative Office of the Courts FY2017 Conflict Resolution Project Grant Circuit Court/Drug Court

The Carroll County Adult Drug Court (CCADC), a court-operated program under the direction of the Carroll County Circuit Court, is a coordinated effort to identify adult non-violent substance abusing offenders who live in Carroll County and place them under strict court monitoring and community supervision, coupled with effective substance abuse treatment and referrals to supportive services. Participants in CCADC typically have a significant number of arrests related to their addiction, multiple criminal cases, periods of unsuccessful supervision, lengthy periods of incarceration and multiple failures at substance abuse treatment. The Conflict Resolution Project grant's purpose is for benefiting the courts and citizens of Maryland with the goal of increasing efficiency in the courts, expanding access to justice and preventing conflicts from escalating into violence or litigation. The grant request was fully funded and grant funds will provide a 3 day training to improve the Carroll County Adult Drug Court Participants ability to resolve conflict by learning how to be more effective in dealing with conflict with family and friends, how to keep relationships strong, and how to speak effectively for their own needs during conflict.

 Motion To: accept the grant award for the FY 2017 Conflict Resolution Projects Grant Program.