



# Honeywell

February 4, 2011

Mr. Harold Dye, Jr.  
Maryland Department of the Environment  
1800 Washington Boulevard, Suite 645  
Baltimore, MD 21230-1719

**Re: CMAA Errata Sheet #1, Dundalk Marine Terminal, Baltimore, Maryland**

Dear Mr. Dye:

Honeywell International Inc. (Honeywell) and the Maryland Port Administration (MPA) are submitting the enclosed errata sheet for the "Corrective Measures Alternatives Analysis (CMAA), Dundalk Marine, Baltimore, Maryland, January 2011." This errata sheet has been prepared to document changes to costs associated with the Degree of Interference with Port Operations for Alternatives 4 and 5 in the CMAA, presented in Attachment 1.

These changes do not affect the conclusions made within the Report or the estimated costs for the five corrective measures alternatives.

If you have any questions or require additional information, please contact me at 973-455-4131.

Very truly yours,

Mark Kreifler  
Project Coordinator, MPA

Christopher M. French  
Project Coordinator, Honeywell

Enclosure (2 copies)

Cc (letter only):

Mr. Matthew Zimmerman/MDE  
Mr. Mark Kreifler/MPA  
Mr. Robert Munroe/MPA  
Mr. Michael Daneker/Arnold & Porter

## Attachment 1: Errata

### Corrective Measures Alternatives Analysis Dundalk Marine Terminal, Baltimore, MD

The correction applies to costs for MPA to fulfill tenant lease obligations for Alternatives 4 and 5, as defined in Sections 7.3.4 and 7.3.5, respectively, within the Corrective Measures Alternatives Analysis (CMAA).

Reference	Citation	Corrected Citation
Section 1.4, last tick at bottom of page 1-6	“Together, the economic impact ...\$67million over 7 years for the Partial Excavation alternative (Alternative 4) and \$96 million over 10 years for the Full Excavation alternative (Alternative 5)...”	“Together, the economic impact ...\$26.4 million over 7 years for the Partial Excavation alternative (Alternative 4) and \$37.7 million over 10 years for the Full Excavation alternative (Alternative 5)...”
Section 7.3.4, Page 7-20, line 5	“...reduction of port income of \$61 million over 7 years.”	“...reduction of port income of \$6.1 million over 7 years.”
Section 7.3.4, page 7-20, line 19	“In summary, Alternative 4 would mean an approximately \$67 million loss of revenue to the port...”	“In summary, Alternative 4 would mean an approximately \$26.4 million loss of revenue to the port...”
Section 7.3.5, page 7-25, third bullet	“...MPA to fulfill its tenant lease obligation...This is estimated to cost \$87 million over 10 years.”	“...MPA to fulfill its tenant lease obligation...This is estimated to cost \$8.7million over 10 years.”
Section 7.3.5, last paragraph, first line	“In summary, Alternative 5 would mean an approximately \$96 million loss of revenue to the port...”	“In summary, Alternative 5 would mean an approximately \$37.7 million loss of revenue to the port...”